

28 May 2010

Special comment

**Media**

Poland

Cyfrowy Polsat Accumulate

CPSM.WA; CPS.PW

(Reiterated)

Piotr Grzybowski
 (48 22) 697 47 17
 piotr.grzybowski@dibre.com.pl

An In-Line Quarter

Current Price: PLN 15.05; Target price: PLN 16.60

The first quarter of 2010 turned out very well for Cyfrowy Polsat. The Company achieved record-high results at all levels of the income statement, which was greatly facilitated by the expansion of the subscriber base towards the end of 2009, more advantageous F/X rates, renegotiation of agreements with content suppliers and with Nagravision, which provides conditional access modules. In addition, since April Cyfrowy Polsat has been manufacturing HD set-top boxes in-house, which should help it reduce costs. On the other hand, earnings for Q2'10 will be negatively impacted by the depreciation of the zloty. According to our estimates, of the current exchange rates persist through the end of the quarter, CP will incur ca. PLN 9.3m in additional licensing and signal transmission expenses. Still, we believe that the good news related to developments in the broadband offer outweigh the impact of the depreciating zloty. We are reiterating an accumulate rating.

First-Quarter Results

Cyfrowy Polsat's first-quarter earnings were in line with our expectations. A slight discrepancy appeared at the top line (PLN 374.0m vs. PLN 358.5m), which is a consequence of the much higher-than-forecasted ARPU (PLN 36.1 vs. PLN 34.8). The subscriber base expanded less than we expected: by 37.4k rather than 50k. In fact, the number of Family Package subscribers shrank by 1.5k. We believe this is a consequence of natural adjustments affecting all the DTV providers following the rapid growth observed towards the end of last year (elimination of double-counted users who have switched providers). Also, the introduction of changes to service terms and conditions late last year gave subscribers the opportunity to terminate their contracts early without penalties.

In consequence, subscription revenues figured to PLN 352.6m in Q1'10, while we had expected PLN 338.9m. This represents a 12.9% increase vs. last year. Revenues from set-top box sales declined slightly from PLN 12.0m to PLN 10.8m, mostly due to the lower-than-expected average price. Throughout the first quarter, the Company continued to offer new set-top boxes for PLN 1 to subscribers who were still using old equipment (from early 2000s). Revenue from telecommunication services increased to PLN 3.4m from PLN 1m in Q4'09, mostly thanks to the launch of the broadband service.

Earnings for Q1 2010 vs. forecasts, consensus

(PLN m)	Q1 2010	Q1 2009	Change	Q1 2010F	Difference	Consensus	Difference	2010F	2009	Change
Revenue	374.0	336.9	11.0%	358.5	4.3%	364.0	2.7%	1456.4	1266.1	15.0%
EBITDA	122.9	93.6	31.3%	121.2	1.4%	118.0	4.1%	426.2	327.4	30.2%
margin	32.9%	27.8%	-	33.8%	-	32.4%	-	29.3%	25.9%	--
EBIT	106.9	85.4	25.2%	104.2	2.6%	101.5	5.3%	362.0	285.5	26.8%
Pre-tax profit	106.4	89.9	18.4%	105.2	1.2%	-	-	363.2	293.7	23.7%
Net profit	86.1	72.6	18.6%	85.2	1.1%	83.1	3.7%	294.2	237.9	23.7%

Source: Cyfrowy Polsat, estimates by BRE Bank Securities, PAP

As far as expenses are concerned, the increase in subscription fees came at a cost of slightly higher-than-expected content licensing expenses (PLN 92.7m vs. PLN 90.5m), higher distribution and marketing expenses (PLN 67.5m vs. PLN 60.0m) and higher other expenses (PLN 34.3m vs. PLN 30.0m).

Year-on-year, content-licensing costs declined by 5.0% despite the higher subscriber base (the number of subscribers to the Family Package, which generates most content-licensing costs, expanded by 337.5k).

This reduction was brought about primarily through the renegotiation of agreements with content providers, and to a certain extent also by more advantageous F/X rates.

Distribution and marketing expenses increased by 37.2% y/y, driven by the costs of the call center (higher number of subscribers to serve, loyalty program and the mailing of new terms and condition of contracts to subscribers). Marketing expenses increased by PLN 9.0m.

The PLN 8.0m increase in depreciation was caused by the increase in the number of set-top-boxes subject to depreciation.

Signal transmission costs increased by 3.5% y/y. Cost expansion in this category, which was a natural consequence of the higher number of subscribers and the additional transponder, was contained thanks to the stronger zloty and the renegotiation of the contract with Nagravision (with respect to conditional access fees).

A considerable part of other operating expenses were the significant write-offs on receivables (PLN 10.8m, +PLN 6.0m y/y).

All in all, Cyfrowy Polsat generated an EBIT of PLN 106.9m vs. PLN 104.2m forecasted by us. Both earnings from financial operations and the effective tax rate were close to our estimates; as a result, the discrepancy vis-à-vis our forecast was PLN 0.9m (PLN 96.1m vs. PL 85.2m).



To sum up, we have a positive view of these earnings. Our forecasts for this year already factor in slower expansion in the number of subscribers, and it should not be seen as surprising, especially in the first quarter (cf. the adjustment mechanism and contract termination, as discussed above). The significant increase in the ARPU, in turn, is a positive development.

Future Outlook: Broadband Access

At the end of Q1'10, Cyfrowy Polsat had 5,000 broadband users, and 8,000 as of 17 May. We are pleased with the growth in the number of users of this service. Despite the fact that it is only available to ca. 30% of CP's subscribers at the moment, the growth rate is much faster than for the MVNO service (which has 29k subscribers after 2.5 years in business). The Management believes there will be few additional costs stemming from the introduction of new services, as a considerable part of these expenses was already incurred when the MVNO service was introduced. Additional marketing expenses should not exceed 10% of the current level. We reiterate the view that the broadband service will be a big success, but as there is no permanent contract between Cyfrowy Polsat, Sferis and Aero2, it is hard to estimate how the gains will be divided among them.

Future Outlook: Depreciation of the Zloty and Its Impact on Earnings for Q2 2010

In the second quarter, we have seen the Polish currency weaken considerably, especially vs. the USD. Should the current EUR/PLN and USD/PLN exchange rates persist through the end of the quarter, content licensing costs will, all other things being equal, increase by PLN 9.0m q/q, and signal transmission costs by PLN 0.3m. We believe this increase in costs may be largely offset by a seasonal decline in write-offs on trade receivables.

Changes in Contract Terms and Conditions: the Final Stage

The last batch of notices informing subscribers of changes to general terms and conditions of service provision was sent out in March. At this stage, notices were mailed to the most valuable subscribers. The full impact of changes to terms and conditions on the size of the subscriber base should be fully visible at the end of Q2. In Q1'10, churn increased from 8.0% in the preceding quarter to 10.7%, and we believe the situation could be similar in the second quarter as well. In the second half of the year, churn is likely to go down as the changes in terms and conditions of agreements should be completed by then; moreover, these new contract terms should help stabilize subscriber base (by reducing churn) and/or help increase the ARPU (with the subscription fees increasing by PLN 2 per package).

Sales Through Punkt; No Deal with Polkomtel

In the first quarter, Cyfrowy Punkt started to distribute its products through the Punkt network. Unfortunately, it was unable to reach a deal with Polkomtel, which means that at the moment Punkt outlets offer either CP's or Polkomtel's products (or, in some cases, both with the exception of CP's broadband services). We expect that in the end Polkomtel will stop cooperating with Punkt. CP's Management believe that even when this happens, Punkt should remain profitable in the medium term (CP's current distribution network is commercially viable). In 2010, however, a PLN 6-9m loss can be expected.



Michał Marczak tel. (+48 22) 697 47 38
Managing Director
Head of Research
michal.marczak@dibre.com.pl
Strategy, Telco, Mining, Metals, Media

Research Department:

Marta Jeżewska tel. (+48 22) 697 47 37
Deputy Director
marta.jezewska@dibre.com.pl
Banks

Analysts:

Kamil Kliszczyk tel. (+48 22) 697 47 06
kamil.kliszczyk@dibre.com.pl
Fuels, Chemicals, Energy, Retail

Piotr Grzybowski tel. (+48 22) 697 47 17
piotr.grzybowski@dibre.com.pl
IT, Media

Maciej Stokłosa tel. (+48 22) 697 47 41
maciej.stoklosa@dibre.com.pl
Construction, Real-Estate Developers

Jakub Szkopek tel. (+48 22) 697 47 40
jakub.szkopek@dibre.com.pl
Manufacturers

Sales and Trading:

Piotr Dudziński tel. (+48 22) 697 48 22
Director
piotr.dudzinski@dibre.com.pl

Marzena Łempicka-Wiliim tel. (+48 22) 697 48 95
Deputy Director
marzena.lempicka@dibre.com.pl

Traders:

Emil Onyszczuk tel. (+48 22) 697 49 63
emil.onyszczuk@dibre.com.pl

Grzegorz Stępień tel. (+48 22) 697 48 62
grzegorz.stepien@dibre.com.pl

Tomasz Dudź tel. (+48 22) 697 49 68
tomasz.dudz@dibre.com.pl

Michał Jakubowski tel. (+48 22) 697 47 44
michal.jakubowski@dibre.com.pl

Tomasz Jakubiec tel. (+48 22) 697 47 31
tomasz.jakubiec@dibre.com.pl

Grzegorz Strublewski tel. (+48 22) 697 48 76
grzegorz.strublewski@dibre.com.pl

Foreign Markets Unit:

Adam Prokop tel. (+48 22) 697 48 46
Foreign Markets Manager
adam.prokop@dibre.com.pl

Michał Roźmiej tel. (+48 22) 697 48 64
michal.rozmiej@dibre.com.pl

Jakub Słotkiewicz tel. (+48 22) 697 48 64
jakub.slotkiewicz@dibre.com.pl

Jacek Wrześniewski tel. (+48 22) 697 49 85
jacek.wrzesniewski@dibre.com.pl

"Private Broker"

Jacek Szczepański tel. (+48 22) 697 48 26
Director
jacek.szczepanski@dibre.com.pl

Paweł Szczepanik tel. (+48 22) 697 49 47
Sales
pawel.szczepanik@dibre.com.pl

Dom Inwestycyjny
BRE Banku S.A.
ul. Wspólna 47/49
00-950 Warszawa
www.dibre.com.pl

List of abbreviations and ratios contained in the report.

EV – net debt + market value (EV – economic value)
EBIT – Earnings Before Interest and Taxes
EBITDA – EBIT + Depreciation and Amortisation
PBA – Profit on Banking Activity
P/CE – price to earnings with amortisation
MC/S – market capitalisation to sales
EBIT/EV – operating profit to economic value
P/E – (Price/Earnings) – price divided by annual net profit per share
ROE – (Return on Equity) – annual net profit divided by average equity
P/BV – (Price/Book Value) – price divided by book value per share
Net debt – credits + debt papers + interest bearing loans – cash and cash equivalents
EBITDA margin – EBITDA/Sales

Recommendations of BRE Bank Securities S.A.

A recommendation is valid for a period of 6-9 months, unless a subsequent recommendation is issued within this period. Expected returns from individual recommendations are as follows:

BUY – we expect that the rate of return from an investment will be at least 15%
ACCUMULATE – we expect that the rate of return from an investment will range from 5% to 15%
HOLD – we expect that the rate of return from an investment will range from -5% to +5%
REDUCE – we expect that the rate of return from an investment will range from -5% to -15%
SELL – we expect that an investment will bear a loss greater than 15%
Recommendations are updated at least once every nine months.

The present report expresses the knowledge as well as opinions of the authors on day the report was prepared. The opinions and estimates contained herein constitute our best judgement at this date and time, and are subject to change without notice. The present report was prepared with due care and attention, observing principles of methodological correctness and objectivity, on the basis of sources available to the public, which BRE Bank Securities S.A. considers reliable, including information published by issuers, shares of which are subject to recommendations. However, BRE Bank Securities S.A., in no case, guarantees the accuracy and completeness of the report, in particular should sources on the basis of which the report was prepared prove to be inaccurate, incomplete or not fully consistent with the facts.

This document does not constitute an offer or invitation to subscribe for or purchase any financial instruments and neither this document nor anything contained herein shall form the basis of any contract or commitment whatsoever. It is being furnished to you solely for your information and may not be reproduced or redistributed to any other person. This document nor any copy hereof is not to be distributed directly or indirectly in the United States, Australia, Canada or Japan.

Recommendations are based on essential data from the entire history of a company being the subject of a recommendation, with particular emphasis on the period since the previous recommendation.

Investing in shares is connected with a number of risks including, but not limited to, the macroeconomic situation of the country, changes in legal regulations as well as changes on commodity markets. Full elimination of these risks is virtually impossible. BRE Bank Securities S.A. bears no responsibility for investment decisions taken on the basis of the present report or for any damages incurred as a result of investment decisions taken on the basis of the present report.

It is possible that BRE Bank Securities S.A. renders, will render or in the past has rendered services for companies and other entities mentioned in the present report.

BRE Bank Securities S.A., its shareholders and employees may hold long or short positions in the issuers' shares or other financial instruments related to the issuers' shares. BRE Bank Securities S.A., its affiliates and/or clients may conduct or may have conducted transactions for their own account or for account of another with respect to the financial instruments mentioned in this report or related investments before the recipient has received this report.

Copying or publishing the present report, in full or in part, or disseminating in any way information contained in the present report requires the prior written agreement of BRE Bank Securities S.A. Recommendations are addressed to all Clients of BRE Bank Securities S.A.

The activity of BRE Bank Securities S.A. is subject to the supervision of the Polish Financial Supervision Commission.

BRE Bank Securities S.A. serves as animator in relation to the shares of the following companies: Certyfikaty Skarbiec Nieruchomości, Erbud, Es-System, LW Bogdanka, Macrologic, Magellan, Mieszko, Mondy, Nepentes, Neuca, Pemug, Polimex-Mostostal.

BRE Bank Securities S.A. receives remuneration from issuers for services rendered to the following companies: Agora, Ambra, Arkus, Bakalland, BRE Bank, Deutsche Bank, DZ Bank Polska, Elektrobudowa, Elzab, Enea, Energoaparatura, Energomontaż Pódnoc, Erbud, Es-System, Farmacol, Ferrum, Fortis Bank, GTC, Intergroclin Auto, Koelner, Komputronik, LW Bogdanka, Magellan, Mennica, Mercor, Mieszko, Mostostal Warszawa, Nepentes, Neuca, Odratrans, Pemug, PGF, PGNiG, Polimex-Mostostal, Polmos Lublin, Polnord, Prokom Software, Seco Warwick, Sfinks, Sokołów, Sygnity, Techmex, Unibep, WSiP, ZA Puławy.

In the last 12 months BRE Bank Securities S.A. has been an offering agent of the issuer's shares in a public offering for the following companies: Centrum Klimy, LW Bogdanka.

Asseco Poland provides IT services to BRE Bank Securities.

BRE Bank Securities S.A. acts as domestic co-bookrunner for Tauron Polska Energia S.A.

Individuals who did not participate in the preparation of recommendations, but had or could have had access to recommendations prior to their publication, are employees of BRE Bank Securities S.A. authorised to access the premises in which recommendations are prepared, other than the analysts mentioned as the authors of the present recommendations.

Strong and weak points of valuation methods used in recommendations:

DCF – acknowledged as the most methodologically correct method of valuation; it consists in discounting financial flows generated by a company; its weak point is the significant susceptibility to a change of forecast assumptions in the model.

Comparative – based on a comparison of valuation multipliers of companies from a given sector; simple in construction, reflects the current state of the market better than DCF; weak points include substantial variability (fluctuations together with market indices) as well as difficulty in the selection of the group of comparable companies.